MST

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.6561 OF 2006

Laxman A. Poman ... Petitioner
versus
Vandana S. Poman ... Respondent

Mr.N.V.Bhutekar i/by Mr.Uday Warunjikar for petitioner.

Mr.P.I.Khemani, AGP for respondent no.4.

CORAM : A.S.OKA, J.

DATE : 26th February 2007

PC :

1. Heard learned advocate appearing for the petitioner. The challenge in this petition is to the order dated 29th August 2006. By the said order, the Divisional Commissioner has rejected the application for stay pending a revision application u/s 247 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code, 1966. The grievance of the petitioner is that no reasons have been assigned for rejecting the prayer for stay.

2. The stay was sought of order dated 31st July 2006. As of today the said order is operating.

MST

Moreover, the result of the said order is that the order passed by the appellate authority of setting aside a mutation entry will operate. In the event the petitioner succeeds in the revision application, consequential orders can always be passed by the revisional authority. Hence it is not necessary to entertain this petition and the same is rejected. Revision application will be decided expeditiously and preferably on or before the end of July 2007.

(A.S.OKA, J.)